Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/085,728	KANEKO, MITSURU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brenda A Lamb	1734
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Brenda A Lamb</u> .	(3)	
(2) Attorney LaPointe.	(4)	
(2) <u>Attorney LaPointe</u> . Ond 7 September 2004	bix 2004 Time:	
	nt's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate re directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate re did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte	n summary of the substance
		•
• •	•	
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A		
(Applicant/A	pplicant's Representative Sign	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Lamb called Attorney LaPointe to indicate that the newly submitted drawing filed 8/3/04 were objected to as failing to provide a reference number for the plurality of pinch rollers for straightening out the blade material plated from the take-up reel and this drawing/replacement sheet filed 8/03/04 would not be entered. Attorney LaPointe submitted a new replacement sheet of Figure 1 on 9/3/2004 and this drawing is acceptable by the examiner. (see the attached initialed approval of the replacement drawing by the examiner).